



# IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Appellants:		)
Grooms, et al.		) EXPRESS MAIL NO.: EV 726847747 U
Serial No.: 09/905	•	) DATE: JUNE 11, 2007
Filed: July 16	5, 2001	)
	mponent Cortical mbled Implant''	) )
Group Art Unit:	3738	) )
Examiner:	Bruce Edward Snow	)

# RESPONSE TO NOTIFICATION OF NON-COMPLIANT APPEAL BRIEF

Mail Stop Appeal Brief - Patents Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Dear Sir,

In response to the Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief that was mailed in May 11, 2007, the Appellants hereby submit an amended Section III, providing the claims on appeal. This submission is believed to be timely as it is being sent via Express mail within the one month period for response as set forth in the Notification of Non-Compliant Brief, ending on June 11, 2007.

Remarks begin on page 2 of this submission.

Date of Deposit

PTO/SB/21 (07-06)

Approved for use through 09/30/2006

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Paperwork Reduction act of 1995, no persona are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. 09/905,683 Application Number TRANSMITTAL **FORM** Filing Date July 16, 2001 First Named Inventor Jamie M. Grooms Art Unit 3738 **Examiner Name Bruce Snow** (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing) Attorney Docket Number 13971US04 Total Number of Pages in This Submission **ENCLOSURES** (check all that apply) Fee Transmittal Form □ Drawing(s) After Allowance Communication to TC Fee Attached Licensing-related Papers Response to Notification of Amendment/Reply Petition Non-Compliant Appeal Brief After Final Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application Return-Receipt Postcard Affidavits/declaration(s) Power of Attorney, Revocation Other Enclosure(s) (please **Extension of Time Request** Change of Correspondence identify below): Address **Express Abandonment Request** Terminal Disclaimer Information Disclosure Statement Request for Refund Certified Copy of Priority CD Number of CD(s) \_\_\_ Document(s) Landscape Table on CD Reply to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application Reply to Missing Parts under Remarks 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53 SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT Firm Individual Name McAndrews Held & Malloy, Ltd. Jennifer E. Lacroix, Esq. Registration No. (Attorney/Agent) 46,852 Name (Print/type) Signature Date: June 11, 2007 EXPRESS MAIL DEPOSIT "Express Mail" mailing label number: EV 726847747 US

June 11. 2007

#### Remarks

The Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief indicated that the Brief on Appeal as originally submitted did not state which claims are on appeal. An amended Section III is provided below, which states that claims 111-118 and 120-136 as currently pending are presented for consideration on appeal:

### III. STATUS OF THE CLAIMS

The present application was filed on July 13, 2001 with claims numbered 1-58.<sup>1</sup> A Notice to File Missing Parts of Nonprovisional Application was mailed on December 10, 2001,<sup>2</sup> to which a Response was filed on March 11, 2002.<sup>3</sup> A Preliminary Amendment was filed that was received by the Office of Initial Patent Examination on January 30, 2002, in which claims 1-58 were canceled and claims 59-110 were added.<sup>4</sup> A restriction requirement under 35 U.S.C. §121 was mailed on August 6, 2003.<sup>5</sup> A Response to the restriction requirement was filed on September 11, 2003,<sup>6</sup> and an Office Communication stating that the Response was not fully responsive to the restriction requirement was mailed on October 8, 2003.<sup>7</sup> In response, on February 16, 2004, Appellants elected the species of the embodiment of Figure 7, canceled claims 59-110, and added claims 111-128.<sup>8</sup> Claim 119 was withdrawn from consideration as being drawn to non-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Exhibit 1, Evidence Appendix.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Exhibit 2, Evidence Appendix.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Exhibit 3, Evidence Appendix

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Exhibit 4, Evidence Appendix

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Exhibit 5, Evidence Appendix.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Exhibit 6, Evidence Appendix

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Exhibit 7, Evidence Appendix

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Exhibit 8, Evidence Appendix

elected inventions or species in the Office Action mailed on March 16, 2004. Additionally, in the Office Action mailed on March 16, 2004, claims 111-118 and 120-128 received a provisional double patenting rejection, and were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a).

In an Amendment and Response dated September 16, 2004, claims 111-113 were amended.<sup>11</sup> In a non-final Office Action mailed December 15, 2004, the provisional double patenting rejection was maintained, claim 117 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, claims 111-118, 120-123 and 126-127 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b), and claims 111-118 and 120-128 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a).<sup>12</sup>

In an Amendment and Response dated April 13, 2005, claims 111 and 117 were amended. A final Office Action was then mailed on June 17, 2005, in which the provisional double patenting rejection was maintained, claims 111-118, 120-123 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b), and claims 111-118 and 120-128 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a). 4

A Request for Continued Examination was filed together with an Amendment and Response on December 19, 2005. In the Amendment and Response filed with the Request for Continued Examination, claim 111 was amended and claims 129-136 were added by copying the previously

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Exhibit 9, at p. 2, Evidence Appendix.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> <u>Id</u>. at pp.2-4.

<sup>11</sup> Exhibit 10, at p. 9, Evidence Appendix.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Exhibit 11, at pp. 2-7, Evidence Appendix.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Exhibit 12, at pp. 2-3, Evidence Appendix

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Exhibit 13, at pp. 3-8, Evidence Appendix.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> Exhibit 14, Evidence Appendix.

presented claims 111-118 and amending the independent claim. Claims 129-136 thus constituted an amended form of previously rejected claims 111-118.

A Non-Final rejection was mailed on March 15, 2006, in which the provisional double patenting rejection was withdrawn, claims 111-118, 120-123 and 129-136 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b), and claims 111-118 and 120-136 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a). In accordance with 35 U.S.C. §134, each of the pending clams having been twice rejected, a Notice of Appeal was then filed on September 11, 2006. ....

In the present application, claims 111-118 and 120-136 are pending and have been twice rejected. All presented amendments to these claims have been entered. Claims 111-118 and 120-136 as currently pending are presented for consideration on appeal. The text of pending claims 111-118 and 120-136 is attached in the Claims Appendix filed herewith.

Appellants believe that the deficiencies noted in the Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief have been corrected, and respectfully submit that the amended Section III provided herewith places the Appeal Brief in Compliance.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> <u>Id</u>. at pp.2-5, and 6.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> Exhibit 15, at pp. 2 and 6-10, Evidence Appendix.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> Exhibit 16, Evidence Appendix.

## Conclusion

Applicants believe that no fee is currently due with this Response to Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief. The Commissioner is, however, hereby authorized to charge any necessary fees, or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account 13-0017 in the name of McAndrews, Held & Malloy, Ltd.

Dated: June 11, 2007

Respectfully submitted,

By:

Jennifer Lacroix

Registration No. 46,852 Attorney for Applicants

MCANDREWS, HELD & MALLOY, LTD. 500 West Madison Street, 34<sup>th</sup> Floor Chicago, Illinois 60661

Telephone:

(312) 775-8000